(41)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION No. 2228 of 2006

PETITIONER : Sant Kumar Dhruw, aged about 39 years, Son of Shri Jeewan Lal Dhruw, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Purena, Block Baloda Bazar, Tahsil Baloda Bazar, District Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of School Education, D.K.S. Bhawa, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Commissioner, Department of Tribal Welfare, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Director, Public Instructions, Raipur (C.G.)

Present: Smt. Hamida Siddique, Advocate for petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 22nd of March, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed vide order dated 29.06.1993 for a period of two years on probation on the pay scale of Rs. 1200/- p.m. and thereafter he was paid regular pay scale.

42

2. The question of law involved herein as to whether the petitioner is entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in W.P.(S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others Vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & Others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006.

4. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit